

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **March 25, 2005** the Examiner reviewed Claims 1-45. Claims 1, 16, and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter AAPA) further in view of Jardine et al (USPN 6,195,754, hereinafter "Jardine"). Claims 2-5, 8-10, 17-20, 23-25, 32-35, and 38-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA, in view of Jardine, further in view of Sowizral et al (USPN 6,570,564, hereinafter "Sowizral") and further in view of Brundridge (USPN 6,279,109, hereinafter "Brundridge"). Claims 6-7, 21-22, and 36-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA, in view of Jardine, further in view of Sowizral, further in view of Brundridge and further in view of Danforth (USPN 6,085,034, hereinafter "Danforth"). Claims 11-15, 26-30, and 41-45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA, in view of Jardine, further in view of Sowizral, further in view of Brundridge, and further in view of Bak et al (USPN 6,704,927, hereinafter "Bak").

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 16, and 31 were rejected as being unpatentable over AAPA further in view of Jardine. Examiner avers that AAPA teaches "augmenting the shared runtime data structure" at page 3, line 23 of the instant application. Applicant respectfully points out that the **first mention** of augmenting the shared runtime data structure is in paragraph [0034] of the instant application. Applicant also respectfully points out that paragraph [0034] is **not** within AAPA. There is nothing within AAPA or Jardine, either explicit or implicit, which suggests augmenting the shared runtime data structure with an initializer field.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 16, and 31 to clarify that the present invention augments the shared runtime data structure with

an initializer field. These amendments find support in paragraph [0034] of the instant application.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 16, and 31 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-15, which depend upon claim 1, claims 17-30, which depend upon claim 16, and claims 32-45, which depend upon claim 31, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By



Edward J. Grundler

Registration No. 47,615

Date: April 6, 2005

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665